Message

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9EC4401AFA1846DD93D52A0DDA973581-CDALMEID]

Sent: 6/15/2016 8:41:52 PM

To: Henning, Loren [Henning.Loren@epa.gov]; Butler, Thomas [Butler.Thomas@epa.gov]

CC: Wayne Miller [Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov]

Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to AF from AH and TLP re ST012 SEE EBR

Looking at the ST12 FFS from 2013, from description of Alternative 4 EBR + Ozonation, some interesting quotes:

Page 89

6.5.3 Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence

Residual risk from Alternative ST012-4 is related to time to cleanup, which would be shortened by source destruction. It is estimated that cleanup criteria could be achieved in 30 to 60 years however, there is significant uncertainty on the time frames and, without a pilot test there is uncertainty regarding the overall effectiveness of the technology. Semiannual groundwater monitoring would provide data for assessment of dissolved plume behavior. Periodic evaluation of the alternative would be addressed in the 5-year review. It is not clear whether adequate distribution of sparged gases can be achieved at ST012 due to the heterogeneous nature of the soil. Pilot studies would be required to confirm effectiveness of the technology.

No pilot test has been done of the technology amec intends to employ. The Permits and Approvals necessary as noted on the evaluation summary table are largely being ignored now.

Table 6-2: Alternative Evaluation Summary

CAMIN			*	
		87012-2		373.34
	No Action			
				Catholica
O *** **				
*****		CONTRA		

AAA.				
			A (A)	
			AAA 3 8 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	

	Technology	******		
				Note:
	X	\$	\$2.3X	\$ 2.8 %
80° 00 00 00				
	Acres de como constituiro	water to and it decises		The same and the same
	·····	ł	i	

Page 92:

6.5.4 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume Through Treatment

Alternative ST012-4 would result in substantial reduction of contaminant volume through destruction of dissolved and residual LNAPL and the process of biological degradation and chemical oxidation. Air sparging/ozonation technology is capable of rapid dissolved contaminant destruction. The combination of LNAPL destruction and bioremediation will reduce the contaminant volume over the course of the projected life of the remedial action. There is some risk of unintended mobilization of LNAPL with sparging.

This is consistent with concerns we expressed about potential spreading of the plume. Recall Don S. made the comment in the BCT meeting that MNA via dissolution was an accepted remedial practice.

6.6.5 Cost

Present worth alternative costs range from \$1.7 million for Alternative ST012-1 (No Action) to \$21.0 million for Alternative ST012-3 (Steam Injection and Enhanced Bioremediation). The next lowest cost alternative to ST012-1 is MNA, Alternative ST012-2, for \$4.6 million followed by Alternative ST01204 at \$10.9 million. Alternative ST012-3 is expected to reach RAOs with the period of the cost estimate where Alternatives ST012-1 and ST012-2 do not and Alternative ST012-4 likely does not.

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

ent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 12:10 PM

To: Henning, Loren < Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Butler, Thomas < Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>

Cc: Wayne Miller < Miller. Wayne@azdeq.gov>

Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to AF from AH and TLP re ST012 SEE EBR

Wayne /all

Use this version of the response letter let me know what you think; I made a few changes to the earlier version that I sent.

I am looking through the 2013 ROD now. The selected remedy to remove LNAPL at the site was alternative 3: SEE + EBR. The ROD did not distinguish between a SEE treatment zone and an EBR treatment zone for LNAPL, they were intended to be sequential treatments. There was an Alternative 4 that was evaluated that was for EBR + ozonation which Amec is now defaulting to (without ozonation as they are selecting the slower anaerobic process) for the areas outside of the SEE treatment zone. Need to read that section for reasons why alternative 4 was not selected. But nevertheless they are trying to push a fundamental change to the remedy.

Carolyn

From: Henning, Loren

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 10:57 AM **To:** Butler, Thomas < <u>Butler. Thomas@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Draft Response Letter to AF from AH and TLP re ST012 SEE EBR

I'm having an update with Angeles tomorrow so will have some direction to share then.

Loren

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 15, 2016, at 10:54 AM, Butler, Thomas < Butler. Thomas@epa.gov > wrote:

<image001.gif>

I'm fine with this concept. I would word-smith it a touch before going final, but we need to loop in ADEQ first anyway so it may make sense for me to wait to see their approach. Regardless, we kind of need this to move quickly, so once you've heard from Loren, if you can convey it to Wayne with a sense of urgency, that'd be helpful.

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 9:22 AM

To: Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to AF from AH and TLP re ST012 SEE EBR

I embellished the letter a bit with technical details - see how this reads

From: Butler, Thomas

Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 5:21 PM

To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>

Subject: Draft Response Letter to AF from AH and TLP re ST012 SEE EBR

All,

I don't know exactly where we are with this anymore, but I've drafted a short and sweet response essentially asking for Phil to engage with Angeles/Tina on this set of ST012 issues. I reference the FFA, including Section 11 (which contains the Work Stoppage section), without invoking it.

Let me know how you want to move forward.

Thanks.

Thomas

Thomas B. Butler
Assistant Regional Counsel, Office of Regional Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street ORC-3
San Francisco, California 94105
Direct Dial Phone: (415) 972-3869
Receptionist: (415) 947-8705

Fax: (415) 947-3570 butler.thomas@epa.gov